

## **PCT**

0 5 APR 2804
WIPO PCT

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

15 OCT 2004

Applicant's or agent's file reference 29981.58	FOR FURTHER ACTION		on of Transmittal of International xamination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)			
International application No.	International filing date (day/mo	nth/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)			
PCT/US03/11573	14 April 2003 (14.04.2003)		15 April 2002 (15.04.2002)			
International Patent Classification (IPC)	or national classification and IPC					
IPC(7): H04M 1/66, 1/68, 1/00 and US	Cl.: 455/411, 553.1					
Applicant						
SPATIAL WIRELESS, INC.						
<ol> <li>This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.</li> <li>This REPORT consists of a total of 2 sheets, including this cover sheet.</li> </ol>						
This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).  These annexes consist of a total of sheets.						
	ations relating to the following	itoms:				
3. This report contains mand	ations relating to the following	items.				
I 🔀 Basis of the rep	ort		į			
II Priority						
III Non-establishm	ent of report with regard to no	elty, inventive	step and industrial applicability			
IV Lack of unity of invention						
V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
VI Certain documents cited						
VII Certain defects in the international application						
VIII Certain observations on the international application						
Date of submission of the demand	Date	of completion	of this report			
11 November 2003 (11.11.2003)	24 M	24 March 2004 (24.03.2004)				
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/ Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US	us	orized officer	Ine Juli - Pily			
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450	Elise	o Ramos-Felici	ano /			
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230 Telephone No. 703-305-3900						
Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet)(July 1998)						

International application	on No.	_
PCT/US03/11575		
	•	

I.	Basi	s of the report		
1.	With	regard to the elements of the international application:*		
	$\boxtimes$	the international application as originally filed.		
	冈	the description:		
		pages 1-16 as originally filed		
		pages NONE, filed with the demand		
	K - 2	pages NONE , filed with the letter of		
	$\boxtimes$	the claims:		
		pages 17-21, as originally filed pages NONE, as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19		
		pages NONE, as alliether (together with any statement) that it is a statement to pages NONE, filed with the demand		
		pages NONE , filed with the letter of		
	$\boxtimes$	the drawings:		
	س	pages 1-10 , as originally filed		
		pages NONE , filed with the demand		
		pages NONE, filed with the letter of		
		the sequence listing part of the description:		
l		pages NONE, as originally filed pages NONE, filed with the demand		
		pages NONE , filed with the demand pages NONE , filed with the letter of		
2	Wit	h regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the		
~	lang	uage in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.		
	The	se elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is:		
		the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule23.1(b)).		
		the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).		
		the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3).		
3.	. Wit	h regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the		
	inte	rnational preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:		
		contained in the international application in printed form.		
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.		
	Щ	furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.		
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.		
	Ш	The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.		
		The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.		
4	. 🛛	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:		
		the description, pages NONE		
		the claims, Nos. NONE		
		the drawings, sheets/fig NONE		
_		This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go		
5		beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**		
* Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17).  ** Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.				
1				

International application No. PCT/US03/115	

Claims   NONE	V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
Inventive Step (IS)  Claims 1-17  Claims NONE  Industrial Applicability (IA)  Claims 1-17  Claims NONE  Claims 1-17  Claims NONE  1-17  Claims NONE  2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS  Claims 1 and 2 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method for transmitting message content including all claimed steps in combination.  Claims 3, 13, and 16 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method for providing authentication including all claimed steps in combination.  Claims 4-12, 14-15 and 17 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), for the reasons set forth above, because the depend on claims 3, 13, and 16, respectively.  Claims 1-27 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject mate claimed can be made or used in industry.  NEW CITATIONS  NONE  NO  NO  1-17  YE  Claims 1-17  YE  NONE  NO  NO  2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS	1. STATEMENT						
Inventive Step (IS)  Claims 1-17  Claims NONE  Industrial Applicability (IA)  Claims 1-17  Claims NONE  Claims 1-17  Claims NONE  2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS  Claims 1 and 2 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method for transmitting message content including all claimed steps in combination.  Claims 3, 13, and 16 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method for providing authentication including all claimed steps in combination.  Claims 4-12, 14-15 and 17 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), for the reasons set forth above, because the depend on claims 3, 13, and 16, respectively.  Claims 1-27 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject mate claimed can be made or used in industry.  NEW CITATIONS  NO  NO  NO  NO  NO  NO  NO  NO  NO	Novelty (N)						
Claims NONE  Industrial Applicability (IA)  Claims 1-17  Claims 1-17  Claims NONE  Claims 1 and 2 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method for transmitting message content including all claimed steps in combination.  Claims 3, 13, and 16 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method for providing authentication including all claimed steps in combination.  Claims 4-12, 14-15 and 17 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), for the reasons set forth above, because the depend on claims 3, 13, and 16, respectively.  Claims 1-27 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject maticlaimed can be made or used in industry.  NEW CITATIONS —————  NEW CITATIONS ————————————————————————————————————		Claims	NONE	NO			
Industrial Applicability (IA)  Claims 1-17  Claims NONE  2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS  Claims 1 and 2 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method for transmitting message content including all claimed steps in combination.  Claims 3, 13, and 16 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method for providing authentication including all claimed steps in combination.  Claims 4-12, 14-15 and 17 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), for the reasons set forth above, because the depend on claims 3, 13, and 16, respectively.  Claims 1-27 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject mate claimed can be made or used in industry.  NEW CITATIONS  NONE  NONE  NO  NO  NO  NO  PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method for providing authentication including all claimed steps in combination.  Claims 4-12, 14-15 and 17 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), for the reasons set forth above, because the depend on claims 3, 13, and 16, respectively.  Claims 1-27 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject material applicabilit	Inventive Step (IS)		•	YES			
Claims NONE  Claims 1 and 2 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method for transmitting message content including all claimed steps in combination.  Claims 3, 13, and 16 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method for providing authentication including all claimed steps in combination.  Claims 4-12, 14-15 and 17 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), for the reasons set forth above, because the depend on claims 3, 13, and 16, respectively.  Claims 1-27 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matical can be made or used in industry.  NEW CITATIONS  NEW CITATIONS	·	Claims	NONE	NO			
2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS  Claims 1 and 2 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method for transmitting message content including all claimed steps in combination.  Claims 3, 13, and 16 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method for providing authentication including all claimed steps in combination.  Claims 4-12, 14-15 and 17 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), for the reasons set forth above, because the depend on claims 3, 13, and 16, respectively.  Claims 1-27 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject maticlaimed can be made or used in industry.  NEW CITATIONS  NEW CITATIONS	Industrial Applicability (IA)						
Claims 1 and 2 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method for transmitting message content including all claimed steps in combination.  Claims 3, 13, and 16 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method for providing authentication including all claimed steps in combination.  Claims 4-12, 14-15 and 17 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), for the reasons set forth above, because the depend on claims 3, 13, and 16, respectively.  Claims 1-27 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matterial can be made or used in industry.  NEW CITATIONS  NEW CITATIONS  NEW CITATIONS		Claims	NONE	NO			
	depend on claims 3, 13, and 16, respectively.  Claims 1-27 meet the criteria set out in F claimed can be made or used in industry.	PCT Article 33(	), and thus have industrial applicability beca				